U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PROGRESS POLLUTION REPORT

I. HEADING

Date: October 5, 1990

From: Mike Neill

To: C. Sidamon-Eristoff, EPA

W. Muszynski, EPA

R. Caspe, EPA

R. Salkie, EPA

J. Frisco, EPA

G. Zachos, EPA

N. Di Forte, EPA ERD, Washington,

(E-Mail)

J. Marshall, EPA

P. Seppi, EPA

I. Karlen, EPA

C. Fiske, EPA

A. Plock, ATSDR

L. Miller, NJDEP

TAT

Subject: White Bridge Road - Asbestos Millington Dump,
Meyersville, New Jersey

POLREP NO.: Two (2)

II. BACKGROUND

SITE/SPILL NO.: 6K

D.O. NO.: 7445-02-091

RESPONSE AUTHORITY: CERCLA/SARA

NPL STATUS: NPL

START DATE: September 19, 1990

APPROVAL STATUS: Verbal authorization from

Division Director on August 23, 1990. STATUS OF ACTION MEMORANDUM: Pending

III. RESPONSE INFORMATION

A. Situation

See initial POLREP.

B. Actions Taken

1. On 9/12/90, TEM analyses of 11 dust samples collected from 7 houses on or near the sites by ERT were received. The results indicated all 7 homes contained less than 1 % chrysotlle asbestos.

ABD 002 0392

ABD 002 039

- 2. On 9/13/90, a meeting was scheduled with the residents and their attorney in attempts to inform them; of the sampling results, of the hazards associated with asbestos and to recommend temporarily relocation for the residents and the business until actions could be undertaken to mitigate the human health threats. However, the residents refused to meet with the representatives off-site. Also, the residents at the site refused medical examinations as recommended by ATSDR and NJDOH.
- 3. On 9/14/90, ATSDR issued an addendum to the site health assessment (8/90) stating that the residents face an imminent and substantial health threat from asbestos exposure. Recommendations included: terminate asbestos exposure of the residents, additional sampling, restrict access, terminate dust generating activities, and post warning signs.
- 4. During the week of 9/14/90, meetings with EPA and ATSDR were held in Edison and New York discussing the proposed removal actions at the site. Due the uncertainty of the asbestos contamination, a consensus was derived to determine the extent of contamination.
- 5. On 9/17/90, EPA met with the residents to inform them that while EPA conduct investigations and removal activities at the site, that the site still poses an imminent and substantial health threat. Also, EPA obtained a verbal access agreement to enter the site and to post warning signs.
- 6. On 9/19/90, warning signs were posted at the driveway entrance to the horse riding track, and on the fence separating the grazing area and the riding track.
- 7. On 9/20/90, EPA and ATSDR met with the public and local officials to inform them of the hazards associated with the sites and EPA's planned actions.

C. Future Plans

- 1. EPA ORC will attempt to obtain a written access agreement and documentation that the business owners inform their employees and customers of the hazards associated with the site.
- 2. EPA Remedial will develop a field operation plan to determine the extent of contamination.

IV.	COST INFORMATION		
A.	Total Project Ceiling	\$ 600,000	
В.	Total Funds Authorized for Mitigation Contracts	\$ 310,000	
c.	Expenditures for Mitigation Contracts		
	1.a. Amount obligated to DCN KX0013 & KE0160	\$ 310,000	
	1.b. Estimated expenditures as of 10/05/90	\$ 2,5 00	
	1.c. Falance remaining	\$ 307,500	
Γ.	Unobligated Balance Remaining	\$ 0	
Ξ.	Estimate of Total Expenditures to Late for all Mitigation Contracts	\$ 2,500	
F.	Other Extramural Costs		
	1.a. TAT salary/travel as of 10/05/90	\$ 1.175	
G.	Intramural Removal Costs		
	1.a. EFA's RAB salary/ travel as of 10/05/90	\$ 2,711	
Н.	Total Expenditures % of \$ 2 Million	0.3 %	
I.	Fercent of Total Project Ceiling	0.6 %	
FINA	FURTHER POLREPS AL POLREPFORTHCOMINGX_SUBMITTED BYMichae Remov	 Mil, 4050 sion Erens	ABD 002 0394